

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,234	06/26/2006	Yushi Kitamura	00005.001298	1953
5514 7550 G3/18/2008 FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			CHANDRAKUMAR, NIZAL S	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/584,234 KITAMURA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR 1625 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-18.27.42 and 43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11.27.42 and 43 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/13/2006

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/584,234

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-18 in the reply filed on 02/13/2008 is acknowledged.

Claims 1-11, 27 are withdrawn by the applicant.

Claims 19-26, 28-41 are cancelled.

New claims 42 and 43 are added.

Claims 1-11, 19-29, 27 and 42,43 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 02/13/2008.

Claims 12-18 are drawn to subject matter of the elected group.

This application contains claims 1-11, 19-29, 27 and 42,43 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 02/13/2008. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 10/584,234

Art Unit: 1625

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and dependent claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

The claims are drawn to 'substitutions' repeatedly without defining what these substitutions are.

The substitutions are defined only for the purposes of excluding compounds of prior art references.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a limited number of compounds of the formula, does not reasonably provide enablement for the plurality of possible structures claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The specification is enabling for a limited number of possibilities for the numerous substituents layered on top of substituents. The specification, for example, while enabling for making R4 = H, is not enabling for R4=OH or halogen, the only exception being when R4=R6=Br. In addition, it is not seen, for example, where in the specification, enabling disclosure for making and using compounds of formula IA wherein R3a and R5a are not the same. For example, the specification does not provide citations (commercial or literature) for procuring the starting materials usable that could substitute for the lack of working examples with respect to non-enabled substitutions. The direction to make biphenyl bond requires regiospecific introduction of handles such as halogen or boronic acid group. For example, when R4== H and R6 is alkyl group in IIb, it is unpredictable if the reaction on page 39 would provide IIa. The existence of such unpredictabilities and uncertainties would

Application/Control Number: 10/584,234 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

prevent one of ordinary skill in the art from accepting the enabling disclosure found on page 37-40 of the specification, on its face as universally applicable for all the substitutions claimed.

Limiting R4 = H and changing claim language such that R3A = R5A, would overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1—18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ince et al. (US 4868306)

Dixon et al. teach

corresponding to compound of the formula IA.

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Evans et al. Tetrahedron Letters (1992), 33(9), 1189-92.

Evans et al. teach

Application/Control Number: 10/584,234 Art Unit: 1625

corresponding to compound of the formula IA.

Claims 1—18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kashiwada et al. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters (1992), 2(3), 235-8. Kashiwada et al. teach

Claims 1—18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chester et al. Australian Journal of Chemistry (1986),39(11), 1759-64.

Chester et al. teach

Application/Control Number: 10/584,234

Art Unit: 1625

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1939); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thonington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1982).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1—18 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/561415 and 11/718079 because the claims of all the applications are drawn to similar benzene derivatives allegedly useful as HSP inhibitors. The difference is the substitution corresponding to the R2 variables of the instant formula. Further the specification of 10/561415 contains instantly claimed compounds.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIZAL S. CHANDRAKUMAR whose telephone number is (571)272-6202. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 AM - 4.30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Janet Andres can be reached on 571 0272-0867. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,234 Page 7

Art Unit: 1625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nizal S. Chandrakumar

/D. Margaret Seaman/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625